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Abstract. In this note we extend a Theorem of Kwong and Zettl concerning the inequality

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\beta}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} \leq K\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\gamma}|u|^{p}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

to all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ such that $\beta=(\alpha+\gamma) / 2$ except for the triple: $\alpha=p-1, \beta=-1, \gamma=-1-p$. In this case the inequality is false; however $u$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\beta}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} \leq K_{1}\left\{\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\gamma}|u|^{p}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / 2}+\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\gamma}|u|^{p}\right\}
$$

1. Notation. Let $I=(a, b),-\infty \leq a<b \leq \infty$, and " $A C_{l o c}(I)$ " denote the class of locally absolutely continuous functions on $I$. If $\alpha, \gamma$ are real numbers define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}_{\alpha \gamma}(I)=:\left\{u: u^{\prime} \in A C_{l o c}(I): \int_{I} t^{\gamma}|u|^{p}, \int_{I} t^{\alpha}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}<\infty\right\}, \\
& \left.\mathcal{D}_{L}(I)=:\left\{u \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha \gamma}(I)\right): \lim _{t \rightarrow a^{+}} u^{(i)}=0, i=0,1\right\} \\
& \mathcal{D}_{R}(I)=:\left\{u \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha \gamma}(I): \lim _{t \rightarrow b^{-}} u^{(i)}=0, i=0,1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Additionally let $K$ denote a constant of interest whose value may change from line to line; if required different constants will be denoted by $K_{1}, K_{2}$, etc.
2. A weighted multiplicative inequality. In [4, Theorem 9] Kwong and Zettl proved the following result:

Theorem 1. Suppose $1 \leq p<\infty, \beta, \gamma$ and $\alpha$ are real numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there is a constant $K$ independent of $u$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\beta}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} \leq K\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\gamma}|u|^{p}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $u \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha \gamma}((0, \infty))$ if $\beta>-1$ and $\gamma>-1-p$.
We are going to extend this Theorem by proving:

Theorem 2. Let $u \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha \gamma}((0, \infty)), 1 \leq p<\infty$, then the inequality (2) holds if and only if the pair $\{\alpha, \gamma\} \neq\{p-1,-1-p\}$ and $\beta$ satisfies (1). Also in the exceptional case $\{\alpha, \gamma\}=\{p-1,-1-p\}$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} \leq K_{1}\left\{\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1-p}|u|^{p}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{p-1}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)^{1 / 2}+\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1-p}|u|^{p}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid.
Proof. That (2) implies (1) is a statement of "dimensional balance" and follows if we introduce the change of variables $t=\lambda s$ in (2). Next suppose that $\beta \neq \alpha-p$. If $\beta>\alpha-p$, we get that $(\alpha-\gamma) / 2 p<1$, and if $\beta<\alpha-p$ then $(\alpha-\gamma) / 2 p>1$; thus in either case $(\alpha-\gamma) / 2 p \neq 1$. Case (i): Let $\beta \leq-1$ and $\beta>\alpha-p$. Now

$$
u^{\prime}(t)=u^{\prime}(s)-\int_{t}^{s} u^{\prime \prime}
$$

Since $\alpha<p-1, \alpha p^{\prime} / p<1$, so that $t^{-\alpha p^{\prime} / p}$ is integrable if $p>1\left(t^{|\alpha|}\right.$ is bounded if $p=1$ ) on right neighborhoods of 0 . This fact and Hölder's inequality implies that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{t}^{s} u^{\prime \prime}$ is finite; consequently $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} u^{\prime}$ exists. Since $t^{\beta}$ fails to be integrable on right neighborhoods of 0 , the limit must be 0 . Because $\beta>\alpha-p$ a form of Hardy's inequality for $\mathcal{D}_{L}((0,1])$ (see [5, Example 6.8(i)) gives

$$
\int_{0}^{1} t^{\beta}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} \leq K \int_{0}^{1} t^{\beta+p}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}<K \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}
$$

when $\beta<-1$, and

$$
\int_{0}^{1} t^{\beta}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p}<K \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-p}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p} \leq K \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}
$$

when $\beta=-1$. The sum inequality on $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha \gamma}((0,1))$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} t^{\beta}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p}<K\left\{\int_{0}^{1} t^{\gamma}|u|^{p}+\int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows trivially. By existing theory (take $I=[1, \infty), \delta:=(\alpha-\gamma) / 2 p<1$, and $\epsilon=1$ in [1, Example 1]) we obtain the sum inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{\beta}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} \leq K\left\{\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{\gamma}|u|^{p}+\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{\alpha}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma \alpha}([1, \infty))$. Addition of (4) and (5) gives the sum inequality on the entire interval. Set $t=\lambda s$. Then $u_{\lambda}:=u(\lambda s)$ is in $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha \gamma}((0, \infty))$ so that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{\beta}\left|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s<K\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{\gamma}\left|u_{\lambda}(s)\right|^{p} d s+\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{\alpha}\left|u_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s\right\}
$$

which is equivalent to the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\beta}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t<K\left\{\lambda^{\phi} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\gamma}|u(t)|^{p} d t+\lambda^{-\phi} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha}\left|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right\} d t \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi=(\alpha-\gamma) / 2-p$. (2) follows by minimizing the right side of (6) with respect to $\lambda$ (the minimization is possible since $(\alpha-\gamma) / 2 p \neq-1)$.

The other possibilities concerning $\beta$ follow a similar logic. Case (ii): Assume $\beta>\{-1, \alpha-p\}$. Then Hardy's inequality for $\mathcal{D}_{R}((0,1]$ (see [5, Example 6.8(ii)]), Minkowski's inequality, and the integrability of $t^{\beta}$ on $(0,1]$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} t^{\beta}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} \leq K\left\{\int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}+\left|u^{\prime}(1)\right|^{p}\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since [1, Lemma 2.1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(1)\right| \leq K\left\{\int_{1}^{2}|u|+\int_{1}^{2}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

a standard Hölder's inequality argument applied to (8) in conjunction with (7) yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} t^{\beta}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p}<K\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\gamma}|u|^{p}+\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (5) remains valid, addition of (5) and (9) gives the sum inequality on $(0, \infty)$ and the the same scaling argument as in the previous case may be applied. Case (iii): If $\beta<\alpha-p$ and $\beta<-1$, Hardy's inequality for $\mathcal{D}_{L}([1, \infty)$ ) (see [5, Example 6.9(i)]), Minkowski's inequality, Lemma 2.1 of [1], etc., give as in Case (ii) the sum inequality (5). On the other hand since $(\alpha-\gamma) / 2 p \geq 1$, existing theory (see [1, Example 2]) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} t^{\beta}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} \leq K\left\{\int_{0}^{1} t^{\gamma}|u|^{p}+\int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we then add and scale as before. Case (iv): If $\beta<\alpha-p$ and $\beta \geq-1$, we can show that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u^{\prime}(t)=0$ by an argument similar to Case (i). Hardy's inequality for $\mathcal{D}_{R}([1, \infty))$ (see [5, Example 6.9(ii)]) then leads trivially to (5). Adding this to (10) (the argument of Case (iii) continues to apply) and finishing the argument as before completes the proof.

Now suppose that $\beta=\alpha-p$. To handle this situation we modify an argument previously given in the proof of [2, Theorem 2.1]: Let $\phi$ be a $C_{0}^{\infty}$ function with support on $[-3 / 4,1]$ such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ and $\phi=1$ on $[1 / 2,1]$. Define a bi-infinite partition $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ of $(0, \infty)$ by letting $t_{0}=1$ and $t_{i}=2^{i}$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha \gamma}((0, \infty))$ set

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{m}(t)=u(t) \phi\left(\left(t-t_{m}\right) / t_{m}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus $y_{m}$ has support on $\left[t_{m-2}, t_{m+1}\right]$ and $y_{m}=u$ on $\left[t_{m-1}, t_{m}\right]$. It is not difficult to show applying Leibniz's rule of differentiation that there is a constant $C$ independent of $u$ and $m$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{2}\left|u^{(i)}\right| / t_{m}^{2-i} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

a.e. Next we recall that if $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=0$ (2) is a special case of a far more general and well known Gabushin) inequality (cf. [3]). (Also note that if $p>1$ the unweighted inequality follows from Case (ii) above.) Substituting (11) into this inequality and using (12) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_{m}}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right) & \leq\left(\int_{t_{m-2}}^{t_{m+1}}\left|y_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right) \\
& \leq K\left(\int_{t_{m-2}}^{t_{m+1}}\left|y_{m}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{t_{m-2}}^{t_{m+1}}\left|y_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq K C^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{t_{m-2}}^{t_{m+1}}|u|^{p}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2}\left|u^{(i)}\right|^{p} /\left(t_{m}^{2-i}\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We multiply the last line of (13) by $t^{\beta}$, noting both that $\beta$ satisfies (1) and that if $t \in\left[t_{m-2}, t_{m}\right]$, then $1 / 4 \leq t / t_{m} \leq 2$ because of the nature of the partition. This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_{m}} t^{\beta}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} \leq K_{1}\left(\int_{t_{m-2}}^{t_{m+1}} t^{\gamma}|u|^{p}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2} t_{m}^{\alpha-(2-i) p}\left|u^{(i)}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a constant $K_{1}$ independent of $u$. Summing (14) over $m$ and using the discrete sum form of the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality yields that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\beta}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} \leq K_{1}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_{m-2}}^{t_{m+1}} t^{\gamma}|u|^{p}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{t_{m-2}}^{t_{m+1}}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2} t_{m}^{\alpha-(2-i) p}\left|u^{(i)}\right|^{p}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Because each $t$ belongs in at most three intervals $\left[t_{m-2}, t_{m+1}\right]$ and by Minkowski's inequality applied to the last integral in (15), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\beta}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} \leq K_{2}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\gamma}|u|^{p}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{2}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t_{m}^{\alpha-(2-i) p}\left|u^{(i)}\right|^{p}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume now that $\beta<-1$ so that $\gamma<-1$. Because $\alpha<p-1$ and $\beta<-1$ an argument given in Case (i) shows that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} u^{\prime}(t)=0$. Similarly the fact that

$$
\frac{-\beta p^{\prime}}{p}>\frac{1}{p-1}>0
$$

together with Hölder's inequality applied to the integral in the identity

$$
u(t)=u(s)-\int_{t}^{s} u^{\prime}
$$

demonstrates that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} u(t)$ exists. Since $\gamma<-1$ the limit is 0 . This shows that $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha \gamma}((0, \infty))=\mathcal{D}_{L}((0, \infty))$. Since $\alpha-p<-1$, iteration of Hardy's inequality for $\mathcal{D}_{L}((0, \infty))$ (cf. [5, Example 6.7]) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha-(2-i) p}\left|u^{(i)}\right|^{p} \leq K_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of (17) into (16) yields (2). The case $\beta>-1, \gamma>-1$ is covered by Theorem 1. However an argument similar to the previous case shows that this alternative implies that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u^{(i)}(t)=0$ for $i=0,1$; so that $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha \gamma}((0, \infty))=\mathcal{D}_{R}((0, \infty))$. Since (17) holds on $\mathcal{D}_{R}((0, \infty))$ if $\alpha-p>-1$ we can substitute it into (16) as before to complete the proof. Summarizing, (2) holds for all choices of $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ satisfying (1) except possibly for

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha & =p-1 \\
\beta & =-1 \\
\gamma & =-1-p \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

which was to be proved.
We next show by a counterexample that (2) cannot hold in the exceptional case (18), Let

$$
u_{\delta}(t):= \begin{cases}u_{1, \delta}(t) \equiv t^{1+\delta} & \text { for } t \in[0,1] \\ u_{2, \delta} \equiv\left((1+\delta) t^{1-\delta}-2 \delta\right) /(1-\delta) & \text { for } t \in(1, \infty)\end{cases}
$$

where $\delta>0$ is a parameter. Since $u_{\delta}$ and $u_{\delta}^{\prime}$ are continuous at $1, u_{\delta} \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha \gamma}((0, \infty))$. To prove that (2) cannot hold for this family of functions it is sufficient to show that if

$$
Q\left(u_{\delta}\right):=\frac{\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1}\left|u_{\delta}^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{2}}{\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1-p}\left|u_{\delta}\right|^{p}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{p-1}\left|u_{\delta}^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} Q\left(u_{\delta}\right)=\infty \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

A calculation yields that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1}\left|u_{\delta}^{\prime}\right|^{p} & =\frac{2(1+\delta)^{p}}{p \delta}  \tag{20}\\
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{p-1}\left|u_{\delta}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p} & =\frac{2 \delta^{p}(\delta+1)^{p}}{p \delta} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-1-p}\left|u_{2}\right|^{p} & <\frac{\int_{1}^{\infty}(1+\delta) t^{1-\delta}}{1-\delta} \\
& <\frac{(1+\delta)^{p}}{p \delta(1-\delta)^{p}} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1-p}\left|u_{\delta}\right|^{p} & =\frac{1}{p \delta}+\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-1-p}\left|u_{2}\right|^{p} \\
& <\frac{1}{p \delta}+\frac{(1+\delta)^{p}}{p \delta(1-\delta)^{p}} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (22) and (23) and substituting them together with the estimates (20) and (21) into (19) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} Q\left(u_{\delta}\right) & \geq \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{2(1+\delta)^{p}}{\delta^{p}\left(1+\frac{(1+\delta)^{p}}{(1-\delta)^{p}}\right)} \\
& =\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to prove (3) in the exceptional case: Let $f(t)=t, N=t^{-1}, W=t^{-1-p}$, and $P=t^{p-1}$ in condition $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$ of [1]. Then a calculation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1} & =(\epsilon t)^{p}\left((\epsilon t)^{-1} \int_{t}^{t(1+\epsilon)} s^{-1} d s\right)\left((\epsilon t)^{-1} \int_{t}^{t(1+\epsilon)} s^{-(p-1) p^{\prime} / p} d s\right)^{p-1} \\
& \leq \epsilon \\
S_{2} & =(\epsilon t)^{-p}\left((\epsilon t)^{-1} \int_{t}^{t(1+\epsilon)} s^{-1} d s\right)\left((\epsilon t)^{-1} \int_{t}^{t(1+\epsilon)} s^{(p+1) p^{\prime} / p} d s\right)^{p-1} \\
& \leq \epsilon^{-p}(1+\epsilon)^{p+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields by [1, Theorem 2.1 (iv)] the sum inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1}|u|^{p} \leq K\left\{\epsilon^{-p}(1+\epsilon)^{p+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1-p}|u|^{p}+\epsilon^{p} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{p-1}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}\right\} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We substitute the elementary inequality

$$
(1+\epsilon)^{p+1} \leq 2^{p}\left(1+\epsilon^{p+1}\right)
$$

into (24) and then minimize

$$
2^{p} \epsilon^{-p} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1-p}|u|^{p}+\epsilon^{p} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{p-1}\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}
$$

on the right-hand side. The result is $(3)$ with $K_{1}=\max \left\{2 K, \epsilon_{0}^{p}\right\}$ where $\epsilon_{0}$ is minimizing value of $\epsilon$. The proof is complete.
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